STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP, ORGANIZATIONAL DECISION-MAKING AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

Student Name: Roselyn Adia Afolabi

Program: Strategic Leadership, Minor in Christian Leadership and Ethics

Course: Strategic Leadership and Organizational Decision Making **Course**

Code: STRL 632

Instructor: Dr. Bontle Jackson

Institution: Heart Bible International University

Date: October 17, 2026

Abstract

This academic article examines the relationship among strategic leadership, organizational decision-making, and organizational performance through existing scholarly literature. Organizations face challenges involving environmental uncertainty, resource constraints, competing priorities, and the implementation of strategic decisions. Although strategic leadership provides direction for organizational action, effective leadership does not automatically guarantee successful decisions or improved performance. The article reviews three interconnected themes: strategic leadership and organizational direction, strategic decision-making under uncertainty, and the relationship between decision-making and organizational performance. The literature suggests that leadership direction, information processing, decision speed, resource allocation, implementation capacity, and organizational adaptability are important considerations in understanding these relationships. Overall, strategic leadership is closely associated with organizational decision-making and performance, but the nature of these relationships depends on organizational and environmental conditions.

Keywords: strategic leadership, organizational decision-making, organizational performance, strategic decision-making, organizational effectiveness.

Introduction

Strategic leadership concerns how organizational leaders establish direction, make strategic choices, allocate resources, develop organizational capabilities, and respond to changing environments. In contemporary organizations, these responsibilities are increasingly important because competition, technological development, economic uncertainty, and changing stakeholder expectations require leaders to make decisions that support immediate operational needs and long-term organizational objectives. Ireland and Hitt (1999) identify strategic leadership as an important element in achieving and maintaining strategic competitiveness, emphasizing leaders' responsibilities for establishing direction, developing organizational capabilities, and supporting the implementation of strategy.

Organizational decision-making is closely connected to strategic leadership because organizational priorities must be translated into choices, actions, and resource commitments. Leaders must interpret information, evaluate alternatives, consider potential consequences, and determine how available resources should be allocated. These decisions can influence organizational effectiveness, growth, adaptability, and the achievement of strategic objectives.

However, organizations may experience unclear strategic priorities, delayed decisions, ineffective resource allocation, and difficulties implementing intended strategies. Furthermore, leaders make decisions under different environmental conditions and organizational constraints, meaning that the same decision-making approach may not produce similar outcomes in every context.

Existing scholarship provides several perspectives on this problem. Alhawamdeh and Alsmairat (2019) identify environmental conditions, leadership behavior, organizational justice, and decision-making approaches as relevant considerations in strategic decision making. Baum and Wally (2003) associate strategic decision speed with firm growth and profitability, while more recent research examines how decision makers process information, reconsider established strategies, and respond to complex organizational conditions (Csaszar et al., 2024; Healey & Hodgkinson, 2024).

The issue is important to organizational leaders, managers, employees, and other stakeholders because strategic decisions influence organizational priorities, resource allocation, working arrangements, strategic initiatives, and performance expectations. Understanding the relationships among leadership, decision-making, and performance can help clarify why some strategic initiatives are implemented effectively while others encounter difficulties.

The central problem is that the presence of strategic leadership does not automatically result in effective decision-making or improved organizational performance. Leadership practices, decision-making approaches, environmental conditions, and implementation capabilities may influence the relationships among these concepts. Consequently, examining leadership alone may provide an incomplete explanation of organizational outcomes.

Accordingly, this academic article argues that strategic leadership is associated with organizational decision-making and organizational performance through the establishment of strategic direction, the quality of decision-making processes, and the development of organizational capabilities. The nature of these relationships depends on environmental conditions, decision-making approaches, resource allocation, and the organization's capacity to implement and adapt its strategies.

Review and Discussion of Scholarly Literature

The scholarly literature suggests that strategic leadership is closely associated with organizational decision-making and performance through strategic direction, decision processes, and organizational capabilities. However, the nature of these relationships is influenced by decision-making approaches, environmental conditions, decision speed, and implementation capacity. Accordingly, this academic article examines the relationship among strategic leadership, organizational decision-making, and organizational performance through three interconnected themes: strategic leadership and organizational direction, strategic decision-making under uncertainty, and decision-making and organizational performance.

Strategic Leadership and Organizational Direction

Strategic leadership provides direction by connecting organizational priorities with long-term objectives and the capabilities required to achieve them. Ireland and Hitt (1999) explain that maintaining strategic competitiveness requires leaders to anticipate environmental conditions, establish organizational direction, develop human and organizational capital, and support the implementation of strategic priorities. This perspective suggests that strategic leadership extends beyond communicating a vision. It involves creating the conditions through which organizational objectives can be translated into coordinated action.

More recent scholarship develops this understanding by treating strategic leadership as a multidimensional organizational process. Samimi et al. (2022) examine strategic leadership

through a framework intended to clarify its central concepts and guide future research. Their work supports an understanding of strategic leadership that considers both leadership activities and the organizational processes through which strategic choices influence outcomes. When considered alongside Ireland and Hitt (1999), this perspective indicates that strategic direction depends not only on a leader's intentions but also on the organization's ability to translate those intentions into priorities, capabilities, and action.

Resource allocation is an important part of this process. Organizations generally operate with limited financial resources, personnel, time, technology, and managerial attention. Leaders must therefore make choices among competing objectives and determine which activities deserve priority. A strategic objective may be desirable but difficult to achieve if the organization lacks the necessary capabilities or resources. Effective strategic leadership

consequently requires alignment between intended objectives and the means available to pursue them.

Capability development is similarly important. Organizational conditions change as technologies develop, stakeholder expectations shift, and competitive pressures evolve. Leaders must assess whether existing structures, knowledge, skills, and processes remain appropriate. Maintaining successful practices can provide stability, but excessive commitment to established approaches may prevent an organization from responding effectively when circumstances change.

Healey and Hodgkinson (2024) contribute to this discussion by examining how multiple scenario analysis can help organizations overcome strategic persistence. Their research suggests that considering alternative future scenarios can encourage decision makers to reconsider established strategies rather than remain attached to previous choices. This view complements the strategic leadership framework discussed by Samimi et al. (2022), because both emphasize the importance of processes that support strategic judgment. Together, these perspectives suggest that effective leadership requires both a consistent sense of direction and a willingness to reconsider strategic assumptions when circumstances warrant change.

Adaptability does not mean that leaders should abandon organizational priorities whenever uncertainty arises. Rather, it requires distinguishing between objectives that remain appropriate and approaches that may need revision. Leaders must consider whether existing strategies continue to fit environmental conditions, whether resources are being used effectively, and whether organizational capabilities are sufficient to support implementation. Such assessments can help organizations maintain strategic focus while responding to emerging opportunities and threats.

Strategic leadership also influences the conditions under which organizational decisions are made. Leaders establish priorities, communicate expectations, allocate responsibilities, and shape the processes through which alternatives are considered. These activities affect how organizational members understand strategic objectives and coordinate their efforts. Where strategic direction is unclear, decisions made by different departments or organizational levels may conflict. Conversely, clear priorities can provide a common basis for evaluating alternatives and allocating resources.

The literature therefore presents strategic leadership as a continuing process of direction setting, capability development, resource alignment, and adaptation. Ireland and Hitt (1999) emphasize the importance of leadership in maintaining competitiveness, while Samimi et al. (2022) provide a broader framework for understanding strategic leadership and its organizational implications. Healey and Hodgkinson (2024) further demonstrate why established strategies may need to be reconsidered as conditions change. Taken together, these perspectives suggest that strategic leadership is most useful when organizational direction is supported by realistic resource decisions and a capacity to adapt.

This theme also establishes the connection between leadership and decision-making. Strategic direction identifies organizational priorities, but leaders must still evaluate alternatives and determine how those priorities should be pursued. The effectiveness of leadership therefore depends partly on the decision processes through which strategic intentions are translated into action.

Strategic Decision-Making Under Uncertainty

Strategic decision-making connects leadership direction with organizational action. Leaders must identify problems, interpret information, evaluate alternatives, consider possible consequences, and select courses of action consistent with organizational objectives. However, these activities do not occur independently of organizational and environmental conditions. Papadakis et al. (1998) demonstrate the importance of management and context in understanding strategic decision-making processes. Their work supports the position that strategic choices are shaped by both the characteristics of decision makers and the circumstances in which decisions occur.

One important challenge is the availability and interpretation of information. Strategic leaders may face incomplete information about future market conditions, technological developments, competitor behavior, resource requirements, and stakeholder responses. Even when substantial information is available, decision makers must determine which information is relevant and how it should influence the choice among alternatives. Consequently, effective decision making requires more than accumulating information; it requires interpreting information in relation to the decision being considered.

Csaszar et al. (2024) examine the role of external representations in strategic decision-making, including how visual representations can support cognitive activities such as working memory, pattern recognition, and knowledge transfer. Their work highlights the importance of how information is presented and processed. This perspective complements Papadakis et al. (1998), because organizational context and the way decision makers interpret information can both shape strategic choices. Together, these studies suggest that decision quality may depend on whether leaders can organize relevant information, identify meaningful relationships, and compare alternatives effectively.

Uncertainty further complicates strategic decision-making. Leaders cannot always predict the consequences of their choices, particularly when decisions involve long-term investments, organizational restructuring, innovation, or responses to changing competitive conditions. Under such circumstances, strategic judgment requires consideration of alternative outcomes rather than an assumption that the future can be predicted with certainty.

Healey and Hodgkinson (2024) demonstrate the value of multiple-scenario analysis in encouraging strategic reorientation. Considering alternative scenarios can help decision makers examine how different future conditions might affect existing strategies. Rather than relying entirely on assumptions that supported previous decisions, leaders can assess whether those assumptions remain reasonable under changing circumstances. This approach may be particularly useful when established strategies become less appropriate or when uncertainty makes a single forecast unreliable.

Organizational constraints also influence the alternatives available to leaders. Financial limitations, staffing requirements, organizational structures, regulatory expectations, and technological capabilities may restrict what can realistically be implemented. A strategically attractive option may therefore be less appropriate if the organization cannot support it. Leaders must evaluate both the potential value of an alternative and the organization's capacity to carry it out.

Decision-making also involves choices about speed. Baum and Wally (2003) found that strategic decision speed was associated with subsequent firm growth and profitability. Their findings suggest that timely decisions may be valuable when organizations need to respond to opportunities or competitive pressures. Nevertheless, decision speed should not be interpreted as a universal measure of decision quality. Rapid decisions may be appropriate in urgent situations, while complex decisions involving substantial resources or long-term consequences may require broader information processing and careful evaluation.

Mariano and Laker (2024), in a systematic literature review of on-the-fly decision-making within organizations, identify organizational, job, task, and individual factors that influence decisions made under immediate or unpredictable circumstances. Their review highlights the importance of considering the conditions under which decisions are made. This perspective complements Baum and Wally (2003): although decision speed may be associated with organizational outcomes, the appropriateness of acting quickly depends on the circumstances, available information, and support for implementation.

These perspectives suggest that strategic leaders should distinguish between decisions requiring immediate action and those requiring extended consideration. Urgent operational situations may demand prompt responses, whereas decisions involving substantial investment, organizational restructuring, or long-term strategic commitments may benefit from consultation, scenario analysis, and evaluation of competing alternatives. Neither speed nor extensive analysis is sufficient in isolation; each must be appropriate to the nature of the decision.

Organizational decision-making also requires alignment with strategic priorities. Leaders may encounter several alternatives that appear attractive but differ in their resource requirements, risks, and likely consequences. Evaluating these alternatives in relation to organizational objectives helps prevent decisions from becoming disconnected from the broader strategy. Clear priorities can also provide a basis for explaining decisions to employees and other stakeholders affected by them.

Overall, the literature suggests that effective strategic decision-making requires the integration of managerial judgment, relevant information, contextual awareness, appropriate decision speed, and the ability to reconsider assumptions. Papadakis et al. (1998) emphasize the role of management and context, Csaszar et al. (2024) examine how information representations support strategic reasoning, and Healey and Hodgkinson (2024) highlight the value of considering alternative scenarios. Mariano and Laker (2024) and Baum and Wally (2003) further demonstrate why the speed and circumstances of decisions deserve attention. Collectively, these perspectives support a contextual understanding of strategic decision making rather than the assumption that one decision-making approach is equally effective in every situation.

Decision-Making and Organizational Performance

Organizational performance reflects the extent to which an organization achieves its objectives and uses its resources effectively. Depending on the organization and its priorities, performance may involve growth, profitability, productivity, effectiveness, adaptability, and the successful implementation of strategy. Strategic decision-making is relevant because decisions influence which objectives receive attention, how resources are allocated, and how organizational activities are coordinated. Nevertheless, a strategic decision does not guarantee a particular performance outcome.

Baum and Wally (2003) provide evidence linking strategic decision speed with firm growth and profitability. Their findings are relevant because delays in decision-making may limit an organization's ability to respond to emerging opportunities or competitive pressures. However, the relationship between decision speed and performance should not be interpreted as proof that faster decisions always produce better results. Decisions must also be appropriate to organizational priorities, informed by relevant information, and supported by implementation capabilities.

Implementation is a critical connection between strategic choices and organizational outcomes. A decision may identify a worthwhile objective, but achieving it requires resources, responsibilities, communication, and coordinated action. If employees do not understand the decision, if resources are unavailable, or if organizational processes do not support implementation, the intended benefits may not be realized. Organizational performance therefore depends not only on the decision itself but also on the conditions under which it is carried out.

The strategic leadership perspective developed by Ireland and Hitt (1999) reinforces this argument. Their emphasis on organizational direction, capability development, and strategic implementation suggests that leaders must connect strategic choices with the organizational means required to achieve them. Samimi et al. (2022) likewise provide a framework for considering strategic leadership in relation to organizational processes and outcomes. Together, these perspectives indicate that leadership direction and decision-making must be considered alongside organizational capabilities.

Research also supports the importance of connecting decision-making processes with broader organizational management practices. Sinnaiah et al. (2023) examine the role of decision

making style within the strategic management process and its relationship with organizational performance. Their work complements the perspectives of Ireland and Hitt (1999) and Samimi et al. (2022) by drawing attention to the processes through which strategic priorities are translated into organizational action. Taken together, these contributions suggest that strategic leadership requires more than establishing objectives; it also requires decision-making approaches that support the effective management of organizational priorities.

Resource allocation is particularly important to this relationship. Strategic decisions determine how an organization distributes limited resources across competing objectives. Allocating resources to one initiative may reduce the resources available for another, making prioritization an essential leadership responsibility. When decisions align resources with strategic priorities, implementation may become more coherent. When priorities and resources are misaligned, organizations may experience delays, conflicting activities, or difficulty achieving intended objectives.

Al-Kahtani et al. (2024) examine strategic decision-making as a mediating factor in the relationships among enterprise resource planning, innovation, strategic planning, and organizational performance. Their work highlights the importance of considering decision making alongside other organizational processes rather than treating performance as the result of a single leadership characteristic. In relation to the broader literature, this perspective reinforces the argument that organizational outcomes may depend on how strategic choices connect planning, organizational resources, innovation, and implementation.

Adaptability also influences the relationship between decision-making and performance. Organizations operate in changing environments, and circumstances may shift after a strategic decision has been made. Leaders therefore need to monitor implementation, evaluate emerging information, and determine whether adjustments are necessary. Healey and Hodgkinson (2024) highlight the value of reconsidering established strategies through multiple-scenario analysis. Their work suggests that organizational effectiveness may depend partly on whether leaders recognize when existing approaches need to be revised. This does not mean that every disappointing short-term result should lead to immediate strategic change. Leaders must distinguish between temporary implementation difficulties and evidence that the underlying strategy no longer fits prevailing conditions. Reviewing progress against organizational objectives, assessing resource use, and considering changes in the environment can help leaders make more informed adjustments. Adaptability is therefore connected to decision-making

because leaders must determine when to maintain a strategic course and when to reconsider it. The relationship between decision-making and performance is also affected by the complexity of organizational circumstances. Mariano and Laker (2024) show that decisions made under urgent or unpredictable conditions are influenced by several interacting factors. Their review supports the view that organizational outcomes cannot be explained by decision speed alone. A rapid decision may be necessary in an emergency, but its effectiveness will also depend on the relevance of available information, the capabilities of decision makers, and the organization's capacity to implement the response.

Similarly, Csaszar et al. (2024) suggest that the way information is represented can influence strategic reasoning. This is relevant to performance because decisions based on poorly understood or inadequately organized information may lead to inappropriate priorities or ineffective resource commitments. Conversely, processes that help leaders compare alternatives and identify relevant patterns may support more considered strategic choices. The implication is not that information presentation guarantees success, but that information processing is one element of the wider decision process.

The literature consequently supports an integrated understanding of organizational performance. Strategic leadership establishes direction, decision-making translates priorities into choices, and implementation processes determine how those choices are put into practice. Organizational resources, capabilities, environmental conditions, and the ability to adjust strategies influence the outcomes that follow. Baum and Wally (2003) highlight the relevance of decision speed, while Ireland and Hitt (1999), Samimi et al. (2022), and Healey and Hodgkinson (2024) help explain why direction, capabilities, and adaptability also matter. Sinnaiah et al. (2023) and Al-Kahtani et al. (2024) further support consideration of decision making processes in relation to organizational management and performance. Taken together, these perspectives indicate that strategic leadership is associated with organizational performance through several interconnected processes rather than through a single leadership behavior or decision-making characteristic. Effective leadership requires clear direction, appropriate evaluation of alternatives, realistic resource allocation, and attention to implementation. Because organizational circumstances differ, the relationships among these processes and performance outcomes should be understood as context-dependent rather than automatic.

Conclusion

This article examined the relationships among strategic leadership, organizational decision making, and organizational performance through three interconnected themes. The discussion indicates that strategic leadership provides direction, decision-making translates priorities into choices, and implementation determines how those choices are enacted. These relationships are shaped by environmental conditions, information quality, decision speed, resource alignment, organizational capabilities, and adaptability.

The first theme showed that strategic leadership requires more than communicating a vision. Leaders must establish clear priorities, align resources with objectives, develop organizational capabilities, and reconsider strategies when circumstances change. Strategic direction is most useful when it provides consistency while allowing evidence-based adaptation.

The second theme demonstrated that strategic decision-making is influenced by managerial judgment, information processing, uncertainty, organizational context, and time constraints. Although timely decisions can help organizations respond to opportunities and threats, speed alone does not establish quality. Leaders need to select decision processes that reflect the complexity, urgency, risks, and consequences of the choices they face.

The third theme showed that organizational performance depends on more than selecting strategic alternatives. Decisions must be supported by resources, clear responsibilities, effective implementation, and the capacity to respond to changing conditions. Performance should therefore be understood as the result of interconnected organizational processes rather than the automatic consequence of a particular leadership behavior.

The central problem addressed in this article is that strategic leadership does not guarantee effective decision-making or improved organizational performance. The literature suggests that leadership contributes through the establishment of direction, the quality of decision processes, and the development of organizational capabilities. However, the outcomes depend on the interaction of these elements with organizational and environmental conditions.

Organizations seeking to strengthen performance should therefore connect strategic priorities with realistic resource commitments, use information critically, match decision processes to the circumstances, and monitor implementation. They should also remain willing to reconsider assumptions when evidence indicates that established approaches are no longer suitable. These practices offer a balanced approach to strategic leadership, recognizing its importance without assuming that leadership alone guarantees success.

References

Alhawamdeh, H. M., & Alsmairat, M. A. (2019). Strategic decision making and organization performance: A literature review. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 9(4), 95–99. https://doi.org/10.32479/irmm.8161

Al-Kahtani, S. M., Senan, N. A. M., Alanazi, I. D., Badawi, M., & Almulaiki, W. A. (2024). Exploring strategic decision making as a mediator between enterprise resource planning, innovation, strategic planning, and organizational performance. *Discover Sustainability*, 5, Article 305. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00532-80

Baum, J. R., & Wally, S. (2003). Strategic decision speed and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(11), 1107–1129. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.343

Csaszar, F. A., Hinrichs, N., & Heshmati, M. (2024). External representations in strategic decision-making: Understanding strategy's reliance on visuals. *Strategic Management Journal*, 45(11), 2191–2226. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3613

Healey, M. P., & Hodgkinson, G. P. (2024). Overcoming strategic persistence: Effects of multiple scenario analysis on strategic reorientation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 45(8), 1423–1445. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3589

Ireland, R. D., & Hitt, M. A. (1999). Achieving and maintaining strategic competitiveness in the 21st century: The role of strategic leadership. *Academy of Management Executive*, 13(1), 43–57. https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.1999.1567311

Mariano, S., & Laker, B. (2024). On-the-fly decision making within organizations: A systematic literature review and future research directions. *Journal of Business Research*, 174, Article 114484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114484

Papadakis, V. M., Lioukas, S., & Chambers, D. (1998). Strategic decision-making processes: The role of management and context. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19(2), 115–147. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199802)19:2<115::AID-SMJ941>3.0.CO;2-5

Samimi, M., Cortes, A. F., Anderson, M. H., & Herrmann, P. (2022). What is strategic leadership? Developing a framework for future research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33(3), Article 101353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101353

Sinnaiah, T., Adam, S., & Mahadi, B. (2023). A strategic management process: The role of decision-making style and organisational performance. *Journal of Work-Applied Management*, 15(1), 37–50. https://doi.org/10.1108/JWAM-10-2022-0074