Ethical Leadership Failures in Faith-Based Organizations: Causes, Impacts, and Solutions

Student Name: Olufunmilayo Ladipo
Program: Master of Arts in Faith-Based Organizational Leadership, Specialization in Christian Ethics
Instructor: Professor Wanda Page
Institution: Heart Bible International University
Date: October 16, 2026

Abstract

Faith-based organizations (FBOs) are uniquely positioned as moral compasses in society, yet they remain vulnerable to systemic ethical failures when governance, financial accountability, and leadership culture are compromised. This paper synthesizes recent scholarship to examine three interconnected dimensions of ethical resilience: governance structures, financial accountability, and the misuse of authority. The analysis demonstrates that concentrated leadership authority and weak oversight marginalize lay voices and undermine structural accountability. Neglecting biblical stewardship and transparency, a moral imperative beyond basic administration, erodes donor confidence and congregational trust. Finally, unchecked spiritual legitimacy and misused authority fracture relationships and severely damage institutional credibility. The paper demonstrates that ethical failures in FBOs are systemic rather than incidental, requiring comprehensive structural reforms. Sustained recovery requires transparent reporting, independent oversight, shared authority, and ongoing ethical leadership training. Combining these safeguards with authentic spiritual integrity enables FBOs to prevent ethical failures, rebuild trust, and fulfill their missions.

Keywords: ethical leadership, faith-based organizations, church governance, financial accountability, spiritual authority, organizational trust

Introduction

Faith-based organizations occupy a unique position of moral and spiritual influence, yet they are not immune to ethical failures. When leadership authority is concentrated, financial accountability neglected, or spiritual legitimacy misused, the resulting breakdowns compromise

far more than administrative boundaries. These failures severely damage organizational integrity, reduce congregational participation, diminish the commitment of employees and volunteers, and strain broader community relationships. Ultimately, this erosion of trust cripples the institution's ability to fulfill its core spiritual and social missions. Scholars consistently emphasize that preventing this systemic collapse depends not only on theological conviction but also on governance structures, financial practices, and a leadership culture that embeds accountability at every level.

This paper examines three interrelated themes that illuminate the causes, impacts, and solutions to ethical leadership failures in faith-based organizations. First, governance structures and organizational tensions reveal how concentrated authority and weak independent oversight create conditions that either strengthen or undermine accountability (Richards, 2024; Mtula et al., 2025; Awuku-Gyampoh & Asare, 2021; Gadagoe, 2026). Second, financial accountability and ethical culture demonstrate that biblical stewardship and transparency are not merely administrative duties but spiritual imperatives, with direct consequences for donor confidence and congregational trust (Sara et al., 2023; Luka & Gofwan, 2025; Nodangala & Ngqeleni, 2026; Kamau et al., 2025). Third, the misuse of authority and ethical leadership solutions highlight how unchecked spiritual legitimacy fractures trust, while authentic and accountable leadership restores integrity (Riaz et al., 2026; Mitekaro & Wa-Mbaleka, 2022; Mtula et al., 2025).

By synthesizing these themes, the paper argues that ethical failures in faith-based organizations are systemic rather than incidental. Addressing them requires holistic, structural interventions that combine governance reforms, strict financial transparency, and ethical leadership

development. Only when structural safeguards converge with authentic leadership can faith-based organizations prevent ethical collapse, restore trust, and embody the integrity essential to their spiritual mission.

This academic article examines ethical leadership failures in faith-based organizations, with particular attention to governance structures, financial accountability, misuse of authority, organizational trust, and leadership practices that may contribute to stronger ethical cultures and institutional accountability.

Review and Discussion of Scholarly Literature

Governance Structures and Organizational Tensions

The governance structures of faith-based organizations are not neutral; they actively shape the ethical climate by establishing conditions that fundamentally strengthen or weaken ethical accountability. When structures concentrate leadership authority in traditional, hierarchical models, they pose a significant risk to organizational integrity. Richards (2024) and Mtula et al. (2025) note that highly centralized authority often shifts organizational priorities toward institutional preservation rather than spiritual values, fostering environments ripe for leadership overreach. This concentration of power strips away the natural checks and balances that emerge when authority is shared, leaving the institution vulnerable to ethical erosion.

The relationship between distributed authority and oversight is particularly critical. Awuku-Gyampoh and Asare (2021) demonstrate that ambiguous decision-making structures

systematically marginalize lay voices. When the laity are excluded from daily governance, the organization loses the internal checks and balances critical for maintaining accountability and preventing ethical decay. Oversight mechanisms lose their legitimacy because true accountability requires both transparency and active participation. In contrast, inclusive structures that integrate lay perspectives strengthen ethical resilience by ensuring that leaders remain answerable to the broader faith community.

Yet, even when oversight mechanisms are formally established, persistent tensions remain regarding their practical implementation. Gadagoe (2026) highlights the inherent clash between standard corporate governance principles, which demand strict financial transparency and independent oversight, and the subjective influence of spiritual revelation. This creates a unique vulnerability specific to faith-based settings: leaders may invoke unquestionable spiritual authority to bypass or override formal decision-making structures, rendering otherwise robust governance frameworks completely ineffective (Gadagoe, 2026; Richards, 2024). This dynamic illustrates how the combination of concentrated authority and manipulating spiritual legitimacy can systematically dismantle accountability.

Conversely, governance structures can fortify an organization when they deliberately distribute authority, embed transparent oversight, and integrate congregational participation. Decision-making processes that balance spiritual discernment with procedural safeguards prevent leaders from exercising unchecked power. Ultimately, the collective evidence suggests that establishing enduring organizational accountability requires more than simply imposing secular corporate structures. It demands designing governance frameworks that respect and regulate

spiritual leadership while empowering the congregation to actively prevent the misuse of concentrated power.

Financial Accountability and Ethical Culture

Financial mismanagement represents a critical vulnerability in faith-based organizations, necessitating deeply ingrained ethical cultures to safeguard resources. Comparative research on secular public administration such as Sofyani et al.'s (2022) study of Indonesian village governments, provides a valuable comparative perspective, demonstrating that preventing financial misconduct fundamentally relies on a baseline of active transparency and a supportive organizational culture. However, scholars emphasize that religious institutions require an even deeper, theologically integrated approach to these foundational controls. Building on this comparative baseline, Luka and Gofwan (2025) argue that embedding the biblical principle of stewardship into financial operations elevates transparency from a routine administrative duty to a strict moral obligation. In this framing, financial accountability is not simply technical but spiritual, reflecting obedience to God's call to manage resources faithfully.

When this stewardship is neglected, the consequences severely hinder the institution's ability to fulfill its core mission. Nodangala and Ngqeleni (2026) highlight these devastating impacts, demonstrating that financial mismanagement and corruption in religious institutions lead to profound public disillusionment, diminished donor confidence, and fractured congregational trust. This breakdown of trust undermines the very legitimacy of spiritual leadership. Conversely, scholars such as Awuku-Gyampoh and Asare (2021) align with Luka and Gofwan (2025) by asserting that proactive financial disclosure and structural transparency are directly correlated

with rebuilding congregational trust and sustaining organizational growth. Their findings reinforce that transparency is both a spiritual imperative and a practical necessity for organizational resilience.

Taken together, the literature indicates that while standard financial transparency and internal controls are essential (Sofyani et al., 2022), accountability in faith-based settings must ultimately be driven by an integrated ethical culture. Concentrated leadership authority without transparent financial practices fosters extreme vulnerability, whereas stewardship-based transparency strengthens organizational trust. Leaders who embrace accountability as a spiritual discipline not only safeguard resources but also reinforce the ethical culture that sustains donor confidence and congregational cohesion. Thus, financial accountability in faith-based organizations is most effective when governance structures, ethical culture, and theological principles converge to ensure that transparency remains inseparable from spiritual integrity.

The Misuse of Authority and Ethical Leadership Solutions

When governance mechanisms and financial controls fail, the resulting misuse of spiritual authority causes profound relational and organizational damage. Scholars note that the unique power dynamics in faith-based organizations can tempt leaders to exploit spiritual legitimacy to evade practical accountability (Riaz et al., 2026). This unchecked concentration of power fractures the fundamental trust between leaders, congregants, and the broader community. The literature consistently establishes a direct connection between leadership behavior and organizational trust: when leaders make opaque decisions or insulate themselves from critique, congregational participation and donor confidence rapidly deteriorate (Nodangala & Ngqeleni,

2026). Conversely, transparent leadership behavior fosters resilience, rebuilding trust and reinforcing the ethical culture necessary for organizational stability.

The misuse of authority is particularly dangerous because it conflates spiritual legitimacy with absolute power. Mtula et al. (2025) emphasize that concentrated authority without distributed decision-making structures undermines accountability, leaving organizations vulnerable to ethical collapse. Awuku-Gyampoh and Asare (2021) further demonstrate that when the laity are excluded from governance, leaders face fewer checks, increasing the risk of authority being exercised in self-serving ways. This dynamic illustrates how authority, oversight, and participation are inseparable from ethical accountability. When one is weakened, the entire system falters.

Ethical leadership solutions therefore require both structural safeguards and cultural transformation, rather than relying solely on individual leader characteristics. Explicit organizational safeguards, such as transparent reporting channels, independent oversight committees, and distributed power structures, are essential to prevent authority from being misused (Mtula et al., 2025). Yet, safeguards alone are insufficient unless leaders embody ethical decisionmaking as a spiritual discipline. Mitekaro and Wa-Mbaleka (2022) identify authentic leadership which is characterized by self-awareness, relational transparency, and moral courage, as a valuable framework that complements structural reforms. Ethical leaders actively dismantle the dangerous conflation of spiritual authority with absolute power. They willingly submit to transparent governance, invite independent oversight, and prioritize the collective well-being of the congregation over personal influence (Riaz et al., 2026).

The literature makes clear that leadership behavior directly determines whether organizational trust is restored or deteriorates. Mahadi et al. (2025) show that ethical stewardship, professional oversight, and visible accountability are critical for rebuilding donor confidence and congregational trust. In contrast, leaders who exploit authority for personal or institutional gain accelerate ethical decay, deepening disillusionment and weakening organizational legitimacy. Thus, resolving ethical failures in faith-based organizations requires holistic, systemic solutions: governance reforms that distribute authority, mandated transparency that ensures accountability, and leadership practices that embody humility, authenticity, and ethical responsibility. Only when these structural safeguards and ethical leadership converge can faith-based organizations cultivate enduring cultures of trust and institutional integrity.

Conclusion

Faith-based organizations occupy a singular position of moral authority, making their leadership failures not merely administrative errors, but profound breaches of communal and spiritual trust. The analysis of governance structures, financial accountability, and the misuse of authority demonstrates that these breakdowns are rarely isolated incidents. Rather, they emerge from systemic vulnerabilities where concentrated leadership authority, weak oversight, and opaque decision-making erode accountability. As Richards (2024), Mtula et al. (2025), and Awuku-Gyampoh and Asare (2021) show, governance design either strengthens or undermines ethical culture depending on how authority is distributed and oversight is enforced. When leaders bypass these structures under the guise of spiritual legitimacy, organizational trust deteriorates rapidly, fundamentally crippling the institution's ability to fulfill its mission.

Financial accountability further illustrates the inseparability of ethical culture and organizational resilience. While comparative studies such as Sofyani et al. (2022) highlight the importance of active transparency and internal controls, scholars including Luka and Gofwan (2025), Mahadi et al. (2025), and Nodangala and Ngqeleni (2026) emphasize that faith-based institutions must elevate transparency to a spiritual imperative. Neglecting biblical stewardship not only compromises resources but fractures donor confidence and congregational trust, actively undermining the mission itself.

Finally, the misuse of authority underscores the relational damage caused when spiritual legitimacy is conflated with absolute power. Riaz et al. (2026) and Mitekaro and Wa-Mbaleka (2022) remind us that ethical leadership solutions must combine structural safeguards with authentic leadership practices. Addressing these deeply rooted issues requires abandoning superficial fixes in favor of systemic reform. By intentionally distributing authority, embracing rigorous financial transparency, and instituting independent oversight, faith-based organizations can dismantle the environments that allow misconduct to thrive. Only when structural safeguards and ethical leadership converge can these organizations prevent ethical failures and restore trust. Ultimately, enduring institutional integrity depends on leaders who view accountability not as a threat to their spiritual authority, but as the truest expression of their ethical mandate.

This academic article examines ethical leadership failures in faith-based organizations, with particular attention to governance structures, financial accountability, misuse of authority, organizational trust, and leadership practices that may contribute to stronger ethical cultures and institutional accountability.

References

Awuku-Gyampoh, R. K., & Asare, A. O. (2021). Exploring the influence of good governance, church management and structure on the development and growth of the church. *Insights into Economics and Management, 8*, 86–97. https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/ieam/v8/1561F

Gadagoe, L. (2026). Rethinking governance in religious institutions: An examination within the Ghanaian context. *Journal of Sociology, Psychology & Religious Studies, 6*(1), 15–29. https://doi.org/10.70619/vol6iss1pp15-29-744

Luka, R. T., & Gofwan, D. L. (2025). Faith-based financial accountability in Nigeria: A theological perspective on stewardship in religious organizations. *International Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 13*(1), 8–19. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijebo.20251301.12

Mahadi, R., Sariman, N. K., Noordin, R., & Ab Fatah, N. S. (2025). Governance and accountability in faith-based nonprofit organisations: A thematic synthesis of spiritual legitimacy, ethical stewardship and professional oversight. *Journal of Business Administration Research*. https://doi.org/10.5430/jbar.v14n2p1

Mitekaro, W., & Wa-Mbaleka, S. (2022). Enhancing authentic leadership to address organizational challenges in church settings. *Pan-African Journal of Education and Social Sciences, 2*(2), 7–15. https://journals.aua.ke/pajes/article/view/56

Mtula, D., Ayiro, L. P., Wachira, M., & Oloo, M. (2025). Church governance, leadership systems and social degradation: A systematic critical review. *African Journal of Emerging Issues, 7*(18), 116–136. https://repository.daystar.ac.ke/handle/123456789/9453

Nodangala, N. Z., & Ngqeleni, Z. (2026). The irony of law and faith in corruption. *E-Journal of Religious and Theological Studies, 12*(8), 376–384. https://doi.org/10.38159/erats.20261282

Riaz, U., Halari, A., Burton, B., & Dunne, T. (2026). Leadership and accountability in faith-based institutions: Evidence from UK mosques. *Financial Accountability and Management*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.70038

Richards, P. D. G. (2024). Church governance—A philosophical approach to a theological challenge in an Anglican context. *Religions, 15*(4), 427. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel15040427

Sofyani, H., Pratolo, S., & Saleh, Z. (2022). Do accountability and transparency promote community trust? Evidence from village government in Indonesia. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 18(3), 397-418. doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-06-2020-0070